



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,093	11/18/2003	Michael C. Tulkoff	VIGN1660-1	4856
44654 7590 03/30/2007 SPRINKLE IP LAW GROUP 1301 W. 25TH STREET SUITE 408 AUSTIN, TX 78705			EXAMINER SAEED, USMAAN	
			ART UNIT 2166	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS			03/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/716,093		TULKOFF ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Usmaan Saeed		2166	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 January 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 49 and 51-64 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 49 and 51-64 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/22/2006</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/23/2007 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 49 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 49 recites "wherein one or content types." This line needs grammatical revision. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2166

Claims 62-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. The language of the claims raises a question as to whether the claims are directed merely to an environment or machine which would result in a practical application producing a concrete useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 62-64 are rejected because they do not recite a practical application by producing a physical transformation or producing a useful, concrete, and tangible results. To perform a physical transformation, the claimed invention must transform an article of physical object into a different state or thing. Transformation of data is not a physical transformation. A useful, concrete, and tangible results must be either specifically recited in the claim or flow inherently therefrom. To be useful the claimed invention must establish a specific, substantial, and credible utility. To be concrete the claimed invention must be able to produce reproducible results. To be tangible the claimed invention must produce a practical application or real world result.

To expedite a complete examination of the instant application the claims rejected under U.S.C. 101 (nonstatutory) above are further rejected as set forth below in anticipation of application amending these claims to place them within the four categories of invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2166

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 49, 51-52, 56-59, and 61-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Macleod et al. (Macleod1 hereinafter)** (US PG Pub No. 2003/0105770) in view of **Macleod et al. (Macleod2 hereinafter)** (U.S PG Pub No. 2003/0105654).

With respect to claim 49, 61, 62 and 63, **Macleod1** teaches a **method for integrating data into a content management system, comprising:**

“generating a set of content types representing a set of data” as a content class models a set of items that have similar properties and fulfill similar purposes. A content class defines the purpose or content of an item by containing as its elements a list of properties appropriate for that purpose or content (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0022).

“saving the set of content types in a memory” Schema definition require that objects conform to fixed data formats of classes defined in the directory schema. In other words, for example, if a class consists of ten (10) data elements, then any object that is based on that class will require the data storage to store those 10 data elements, regardless of whether each of the 10 elements even contain any data (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0055).

“generating a set of content type objects corresponding to the set of content types” as a content class models a set of items that have similar properties and fulfill similar purposes. A content class defines the purpose or content of an item by containing as its elements a list of properties appropriate for that purpose or content (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0022). Schema definition require that objects conform to fixed data formats of classes defined in the directory schema. In other words, for example, if a class consists of ten (10) data elements, then any object that is based on that class will require the data storage to store those 10 data elements, regardless of whether each of the 10 elements even contain any data (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0055).

“generating a content instance object for each datum that fits a content type within the set of content types” as FIG. 6 shows an exemplary procedure 600 to change the operational or data providing nature of multiple object instances of a base content class in a directory schema independent of modifying the directory schema. At block 610, the procedure instantiates a first object instance of a flexible content class 422 (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0074).

“associating the datum with the content instance object” as the procedure assigns a first data string (e.g., XML) to a flexible attribute 418 in the first flexible object

Art Unit: 2166

instance (block 610), the first data string defines any combination of a first operational and a data providing nature of the first object instance. Specifically, an application that has instantiated or that is using the first object instance knows of the first object instance's interface and how to unpack and use the first data string (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0075).

“saving the content instance object in a memory” as the combination of the attributeSyntax and oMSyntax properties determines the syntax of the attribute, that is, the type of data stored by instances of the attribute (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0043 & 0054)

“wherein at least one content instance object refer to a plurality of datum” as multiple instances of the same object class can have attributes that provide completely different data types and completely different data operations (**Macleod** Abstract) **“the plurality of datum residing in at least two distinct data storages and managed in conjunction with one another using the content object”** as (**Macleod1** Figure 5).

FIG. 5 shows an exemplary system 500 to implement a directory schema 400 of FIG. 4 with flexible structural content classes and attributes. Figure 5 also shows databases or directories and the only objects that can be represented in the distributed directory are those that meet the content class qualifications specified by the directory schema.

“sharing the datum between a plurality of sites using the content instance object” as a distributed directory shares a common directory schema for the entire forest of directory trees that are organized as peers and connected by two-way transitive trust relationships between the root domains of each tree; when the directory schema is extended, the forest is extended (**Macleod** Paragraph 0007).

“managing the set of data using the content instance, wherein the two or more datum are managed as a single entity using the at least one content instance object” as a system to generate and manage objects based on an exemplary directory schema 400 with flexible attributes 418 may be implemented (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0087). FIG. 6 shows an exemplary procedure 600 to change the operational or data providing nature of multiple object instances of a base content class in a directory schema independent of modifying the directory schema.

Macleod1 teaches the elements of claim 49 as noted above but does not explicitly disclose **“wherein one or content types comprise a policy annotation, the policy annotation comprising management information including workflow corresponding to the content type.”**

However, **Macleod2** discloses **“wherein one or content types comprise a policy annotation, the policy annotation comprising management information including workflow corresponding to the content type”** as a policy with respect to one or more directory resources, and wherein the means for mapping the state change to the object further comprise means for automatically determining the workflow based on the policy (**Macleod2** claim 72). A workflow enabled directory schema as recited in claim 73, wherein at least a subset of the base object content classes comprise a respective flexible attribute data field that indicates a data type, the data type being used to express various operational or data providing properties of the flexible attribute, the various operational or data providing properties being independent of the data type and independent of any modification to the workflow enabled directory schema (**Macleod2** Claim 74).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Macleod2's** teachings would have allowed **Macleod1** to provide arrangements and procedures to implement and integrate workflows with a directory based on a workflow enabled directory schema.

With respect to claim 51, **Macleod1** teaches, “**generating the content type comprises specifying attributes associated with the content type**” as a directory schema with object classes that have flexible attributes. The content class includes a flexible attribute having a data type (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0012 & 0054).

With respect to claim 52, **Macleod1** teaches “**for each of the set of the content types, analyzing the data to obtain a first set of the data corresponding to the content type**” as schema definition require that objects conform to fixed data formats of classes defined in the directory schema. In other words, for example, if a class consists of ten (10) data elements, then any object that is based on that class will require the data storage to store those 10 data elements, regardless of whether each of the 10 elements even contain any data (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0055). The first instantiated object to have any combination of one or more data types (e.g., integer, real, string, floating, character, and so on), or operational properties (e.g., an operation can be defined to do just about anything imaginable such as to send an e-mail message, to report statistics, to manage a rocket launch, and so on). Whereas the flexible attribute in the second instance of the object can be assigned completely different properties that

Art Unit: 2166

are independent of any characteristics of the data types or operations that correspond to the flexible attribute of the first instance of the object (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0077).

With respect to claim 56, **Macleod1** teaches “**wherein each of the set of content type objects is a structured definition of the corresponding content type**” as all directory schema 400 structural objects (other than "top") inherit properties from the class schema class 412. Structural content classes (with the exception of the "top" content class) include only those attributes that are defined by the attribute schema class 414 or those attributes defined by content classes that have been derived from the attribute schema class 414 (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0029).

With respect to claim 57, **Macleod1** teaches “**wherein each of the content type objects is an XML document**” as an application using an object instance that includes the flexible attribute can store, for example, an XML string on the flexible attribute property "attributeSyntax" (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0054). For example, consider the first XML string or document "<a> Data " (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0084).

With respect to claim 58 and 59, **Macleod1** teaches, “**wherein each of the set of content types have associated workflows, access controls or policies and managing the set of data using workflows, access controls or policies associated with each of set of content types**” as a content class models a set of items that have similar properties and fulfill similar purposes. A content class defines the purpose or content of an item by containing as its elements a list of properties appropriate for that

Art Unit: 2166

purpose or content (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0022). A system to generate and manage objects based on an exemplary directory schema 400 with flexible attributes 418 may be implemented (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0087).

Macleod1 teaches the elements of claim 58 and 59 as noted above but does not explicitly disclose “**workflows, access controls or policies.**”

However, **Macleod2** discloses “**workflows, access controls or policies**” as a policy with respect to one or more directory resources, and wherein the means for mapping the state change to the object further comprise means for automatically determining the workflow based on the policy (**Macleod2** claim 72).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Macleod2's** teachings would have allowed **Macleod1** to provide arrangements and procedures to implement and integrate workflows with a directory based on a workflow enabled directory schema.

With respect to claim 64, **Macleod1** does not explicitly teach, “**where the policy dictates how the datum is to be deployed.**”

However, **Macleod2** teaches “**where the policy dictates how the datum is to be deployed**” as a policy with respect to one or more directory resources, and wherein the means for mapping the state change to the object further comprise means for automatically determining the workflow based on the policy (**Macleod2** claim 72). A workflow enabled directory schema as recited in claim 73, wherein at least a subset of the base object content classes comprise a respective flexible attribute data field that

Art Unit: 2166

indicates a data type, the data type being used to express various operational or data providing properties of the flexible attribute, the various operational or data providing properties being independent of the data type and independent of any modification to the workflow enabled directory schema (**Macleod2** Claim 74).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Macleod2's** teachings would have allowed **Macleod1** to provide arrangements and procedures to implement and integrate workflows with a directory based on a workflow enabled directory schema.

4. Claims 53-55 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Macleod et al.** (US PG Pub No. 2003/0105770) in view of **Macleod et al.** (U.S PG Pub No. 2003/0105654) as applied to claims 49, 51-52, 56-59, and 61-64 above further in view of **Varadarajan Thiruvillamalai**. (**Thiruvillamalai** hereinafter) (U.S. PG Pub No. 2004/0187100).

With respect to claim 53, **Macleod1** and **Macleod2** do not explicitly teach, “analyzing the data to generate a set of keys associated with the data.”

However, **Thiruvillamalai** discloses “analyzing the data to generate a set of keys associated with the data” as a request to store an element having a data type and a key, the executing storage method code stores the element in a data store

Art Unit: 2166

according to the key and in association with data type information (**Thiruvillamalai Paragraph 0010**).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Thiruvillamalai's** teachings would have allowed **Macleod1** and **Macleod2** to return an element referenced by a key, having a specified data type by acquiring a key for the set of data.

With respect to claim 54, **Macleod1** teaches “**association of values with the content instance object**” as an object instance is a collection of values, or attributes that conform to the type established by the class definition (**Macleod1 Paragraph 0025**).

Macleod1 teaches the elements of claim 54 as noted above but does not explicitly teach “**generating values for the set of keys for each of the content instance objects.**”

However, **Thiruvillamalai** discloses “**generating values for the set of keys for each of the content instance objects**” as the get method code determines whether the data type for the requested element matches the type index stored with the element referenced by the given key. If so, the Get method returns the data of the requested element (its value) from the data store (**Thiruvillamalai Paragraph 0009**). The Put method maintains a type index in association with each element (object) stored in the data store. The Get method validates that the type of object that was requested in the call to the Get method matches the object type that was stored in the Put method (**Thiruvillamalai Paragraph 0007**).

Art Unit: 2166

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Thiruvillamalai's** teachings would have allowed **Macleod1 and Macleod2** to return an element referenced by a key, having a specified data type by acquiring a key for the set of data.

With respect to claim 55 **Macleod1** teaches "**querying the content repository**" as allocated object elements in a database that are unused may become problematic and contribute to wasted data storage space and in some cases, decreased database query response times (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0055).

Macleod1 teaches the elements of claim 55 as noted above but does not explicitly disclose, "**acquiring the values.**"

However, **Thiruvillamalai** discloses, "**acquiring the values**" as the get method code determines whether the data type for the requested element matches the type index stored with the element referenced by the given key. If so, the Get method returns the data of the requested element (its value) from the data store (**Thiruvillamalai** Paragraph 0009). The Put method maintains a type index in association with each element (object) stored in the data store. The Get method validates that the type of object that was requested in the call to the Get method matches the object type that was stored in the Put method (**Thiruvillamalai** Paragraph 0007).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because

Art Unit: 2166

Thiruvillamalai's teachings would have allowed **Macleod1** and **Macleod2** to return an element referenced by a key, having a specified data type by acquiring a key for the set of data.

With respect to claim 60, **Macleod1** teaches, "**wherein the content instance objects are stored at a location remote from the content repository**" as computer 730 may operate in a networked environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers, such as a remote computer 782. Remote computer 782 may include many or all of the elements and features described herein relative to computer 730 (**Macleod1** Paragraph 0100).

Macleod1 teaches the elements of claim 60 as noted above but does not explicitly disclose, "**wherein the content instance objects are stored at a location remote from the content repository.**"

However, **Thiruvillamalai** discloses "**wherein the content instance objects are stored at a location remote from the content repository**" as in the present invention, the computer system 110 may comprise source machine from which data is being migrated, and the remote computer 180 may comprise the destination machine (**Thiruvillamalai** Paragraph 0025).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Thiruvillamalai's** teachings would have allowed **Macleod1** and **Macleod2** to provide storage of data in remote locations and to return an element referenced by a key, having a specified data type by acquiring a key for the set of data.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

See above rejections for response to the arguments.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is listed on 892 form.

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular figures, columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Contact Information


Art Unit: 2166

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Usmaan Saeed whose telephone number is (571)272-4046. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (571)272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Usmaan Saeed
Patent Examiner
Art Unit: 2166

Leslie Wong 
Primary Examiner

US
March 22, 2007



HOSAIN ALAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER